

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## (SILC) State Independent Living Council

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

DSHS & RSA Regional Services Admin, U.S. DoE

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☒

1993

18

8

3. Agency to which B/C reports

4. Year B/C was established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The responsibilities of the council are described in both the Rehab Act of 1973, as amended (Title VII) and in the Executive Order #93-04 and include to jointly develop and submit the State Plan for Independent Living in conjunction with the general vocational rehabilitation program; monitor, review and evaluate the implementation of the State Plan for Independent Living; coordinate activities with councils and agencies that address the needs of disability populations; submit reports to the Commissioner upon request and perform other functions, consistent with the Act.

8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

Total Costs

Sources of Funds

- 1) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.  
2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

163,000

Federal Part B money through Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and Department of Services for the Blind (A) - General Fund -- Federal

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

165,000

Federal Part B money through Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and Department of Services for the Blind (A) - General Fund -- Federal

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) No one person can carry out the duties of the SILC's charge. DVR no longer provides IL services. SILC provides service resources, a state plan for IL and members to advocate for IL living throughout the State of WA.

b) If the SILC's charge was assigned to Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, the cost of IL services would or could be exorbitant, due to the fact that SILC is a consumer driven agency that holds the knowledge necessary for people with disabilities to live independently and is singularly appropriate for these responsibilities.

c) Mandated by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended in 1998 and Governor's Executive Order 93-04. Additionally, eliminating the SILC would silence the voice of people with disabilities in state policy debate that affects them, and reduce the opportunity to find other sources of funding and support for the Centers for Independent Living (CILs). The CILs serve thousands of people with disability a year. Eliminating the SILC would result in many Washingtonians receiving no independent living services. Nearly all rural and remote outreach services would cease. The CILs also provide important pre-employment and post employment support services to people with disabilities. Fewer people with disabilities would receive these services, resulting in more people losing their jobs or not getting the IL support needed to find employment. Elimination of the SILC would simply wipe out the State's more than ten year partnership with the CILs, the most important relationship to assist individual with disabilities to live independently.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO  
Executive Order #93-04

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☐ one ☒ two ☐ three ☐ four

### 16. Required Representation:

1 director of a CIL; a rep from the Designated State Unit for the public vocational rehabilitation program, reps from other state agencies, 1 rep of Section 121; advocates of and for individuals with disabilities; other reps from CILs; parents and guardians of individuals with disabilities; and reps from private business. The council must be comprised of members who provide statewide representation; represent a broad range of individuals with disabilities from diverse backgrounds; must be knowledgeable about CILs and IL services; and a majority of whom are persons with disabilities as described in Section 7(20)(B)

### 17. Federal or other mandates:

Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended in 1998; Governor's Executive Order 93-04

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:  
none

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Cathy Baldwin, Exec. Director

8/29/03

PO Box 45343, Olympia, WA 98504

360-407-3603

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Indeterminate Sentence Review Board

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☒

Same

1935

3

23

3. Agency to which B/C reports

4. Year B/C was established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

Quasi-judicial determinations of potential criminal behavior risk of offenders committing crimes before July, 1984, and certain sex offenders committing crimes after 9/1/01. See Mission Statement [www.srb.wa.gov](http://www.srb.wa.gov)

8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

Total Costs

Sources of Funds

2) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.

2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

1.7 Million

G.F. State - A

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

1.9 Million

G.F. State - A

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) Calling "A" "B" would not change responsibilities

b) Loss of judicial immunity and commensurate increase in tax-payer liability

c) Increased crime

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW 9.95, WAC 381, RCW 9.94A.712

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☐ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

Chair Full Time State, Members 60% Time State

16. Required Representation:

None

17. Federal or other mandates:

None

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

N/A

19. Certification:

*I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

John L. Austin, III, Chair

7/24/03

P.O. Box 40907, Olympia, WA 98504-0907

360-493-9271

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Indian Policy Advisory Committee, DSHS

Indian Policy Advisory Committee

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☒

DSHS

1978

35

8

3. Agency to which B/C reports

4. Year B/C was established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

Provides consultation and advice to the DSHS Secretary related to policies and issues that affect Native American people. These policies are a direct reflection of the Governor's Centennial Accord, DSHS Administrative Policy 7.01 and Tribal/State Agreements between specific divisions and Tribal governments. Provides information to achieve culturally relevant service delivery in all divisions.

### 8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

#### Total Costs

#### Sources of Funds

3) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.

2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

10,200

General Fund -- State -- A

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

10,200

General Fund -- State -- A

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) Government-to-Government intent and trust relationship not achieved.

b) Each agency should have their own Indian Policy Advisory Committee.

c) Not recommended, due to the Governor's Centennial Accord agreement.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

Governor's Centennial Accord, DSHS Administrative Policy 7.01.

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Resolution by Tribe or recognized Indian Organization and appointment letter from the DSHS Secretary.

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☒ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

### 16. Required Representation:

Representatives from all Federally Recognized Tribes in Washington State and representatives from recognized Indian Organizations.

17. Federal or other mandates:

None

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

None

19. Certification:

*I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Colleen F. Cawston, Director  
Indian Policy and Support Services

8/27/03

P.O. Box 45105  
Olympia, WA 98504

(360) 902-7816

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Industrial Insurance Appeals, Board of

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☒

Same

1949

3

48

3. Agency to which B/C reports

4. Year B/C was established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The resolution of disputes involving several specialized areas of law: (1) workers' compensation, including assessment of industrial insurance premiums, RCW Title 51; (2) citations issued under the Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA), RCW 49.17; (3) assessments and penalties issued under RCW 49.70, the Worker and Community Right to Know Act; (4) Decisions affecting compensation of innocent victims of crime, RCW 7.68; (5) safety appeals for late night retail establishments, RCW 49.22.030; (6) the Charter Boat Safety Act, RCW 88.04.085; (7) the Explosives Act, RCW 7.74.390; and (8) asbestos certification, RCW 49.26.110.

8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

Total Costs

Sources of Funds

4) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.

2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

28.6 million

608 A Accident Account

609 A Medical Aid Account

163 A Worker/Community Right to Know Act

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

30.4 million

608 A Accident Account

609 A Medical Aid Account

163 A Worker/Community Right to Know Act

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) This is a constituent-driven agency. Business and Labor consider it necessary to have an independent review of decisions of the Department of Labor and Industries by people with expertise in the areas of law administered by the Board. There are no existing staff in other agencies with expertise in these areas of the law.

b) Transferring responsibilities to another agency would save no money and could eliminate the independent review.

c) If the responsibilities were dropped, appeals would have to go to superior courts, which would flood the system.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW, Title 51, RCW 49.17, RCW 49.7, RCW 7.68, RCW 49.22.230, RCW 88.04.085, RCW 70.74.390, and RCW 49.26.110.

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: RCW 51.52, RCW 49.17, RCW 7.68, RCW 49.70.170, RCW 49.22.030, RCW 88.04.085, RCW 70.74.390, and RCW 49.26.110

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☒ Yes ☐ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☐ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

16. Required Representation:

Chairperson: Represents general public

Member: Represents workers

Member: Represents employers

17. Federal or other mandates:

None

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

None

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Jay Raish, Confidential Secretary

7/23/03

P.O. Box 42401, Olympia, WA 98504-2401

360-753-6824

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Information Services Board

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☒

Dept of Information Services

1987

15

10

3. Agency to which B/C reports

4. Year B/C was established

5. Number of members

6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Legislature created the Information Services Board to provide a state-level perspective on strategic direction, policy for information technology, and oversight of large technology projects. The Department of Information Services Management and Oversight of Strategic Technologies Division provides staff support for the ISB. The roles and responsibilities of the ISB are: provide leadership and direction for state-level strategic technology planning; develop and adopt state information technology policies, standards, and procedures; provide oversight of major information technology projects and; approve major acquisitions of information technology equipment and software.

8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

Total Costs

Sources of Funds

5) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.

2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

7,000

Data Processing Revolving Account  
A

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

8,400

Data Processing Revolving Account  
A

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) If the responsibilities of the ISB were assigned to existing or additional staff, the expertise of the Board members – including legislators; senior state, court, and private sector executives; educators; and senior technology professionals – would be lost. The Board has been instrumental in guiding agencies toward better decisions in the investment of scarce public resources in information technology.

b) The ISB is uniquely situated in relationship to the Department of Information Services (DIS) such that its decisions and policy directions reinforce the development of a shared state technology infrastructure. Taken together, its membership and relationship to DIS are instrumental in the Board's role in establishing a framework that encourages collaborative efforts and establishes policies to assist in the application of the cost-effective use of statewide information technology resources. If the ISB's responsibilities were transferred to another agency, the alignment of policy and infrastructure would be difficult to maintain. When such a scenario was explored a number of years ago, the potential alignment difficulties were compounded by the finding that the new host agency would require a significant number of additional FTEs.

c) If the ISB were abolished, responsibility for statewide information technology oversight, resource acquisition, appeal resolution and technical policies would need to be provided elsewhere, resulting in a potential cost increase.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

43.105

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☒ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

### 16. Required Representation:

There are 15 members of the ISB (a statutory change during the 1999 Legislative session added two new legislative members. The effective date for appointment of those members is September 1999, but these new members are included in the count of 15). Membership includes, 8 appointed by the Governor (including 3 members of the private sector and 1 representative from higher education); 1 appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; the Superintendent of Public Instruction (or designee); 2 state senators appointed by the President of the Senate; 2 state representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and the Director of the Department of Information Services.

17. Federal or other mandates:

none

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

none

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Laurel McMillan  
Name and Title

7/22/03  
Date

1110 Jefferson Street  
Address

902-3566  
Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Washington State Institutional Review Board

DSHS/DOH Human Research Review Board

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)

2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged ☐

DSHS

1970

30

32

3. Agency to which B/C reports

Year B/C was established

Number of members

Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Washington State Institutional Review Board reviews research proposals in DSHS, DOH, and L&I jurisdiction to ensure that the rights and welfare of research subjects are adequately protected, and that risks to subjects are minimized, are not unreasonable, and are outweighed by the anticipated benefits of the research. The Review Board verifies that appropriate mechanisms are in place to protect the privacy of potential subjects and the confidentiality of identifiable personal records and study data. The Board also ensures that the proposed research is sound, and that the design and methods are adequate and appropriate in the light of stated project purposes, thereby promoting the responsible expenditure of public and private research funds.

Federal regulations, state law and administrative code, and departmental policy require the Review Board to review all research involving DSHS, DOH, or L&I clients, employees, or confidential records, and all research sponsored by DSHS, DOH, or L&I whether or not departmental clients, employees, or confidential records are involved. Review Board approval is a condition for the receipt of federal research funds to DSHS, DOH, and L&I estimated to exceed \$6.5 million for 20 research projects currently active in the three departments.

### 8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)

#### Total Costs

#### Sources of Funds

6) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc.

2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated

2001-2003 Biennium Actual

224,000

GF-S

A

2003-2005 Biennium Estimate

261,000

GF-S

A

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

a) Would not meet state and federal requirements for a standing institutional review board (IRB); potential loss of federal research funds.

b) Administrative support could be transferred to DOH and L&I, but with no net savings to the state.

c) Loss of federal funds; clients lose protections; researchers lose access to identifiable records for research under RCW 42.48; research is reduced.

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO

RCW 43.20A.050, RCW 42.48; WAC 388-04

11. Legal Authorization is:

☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Secretary: DSHS

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☒ one ☐ two ☐ three ☐ four

### 16. Required Representation:

12 to 18 members per Board, representing departmental programs and professional groups, qualified to review scientific merit and/or ethical soundness of proposed research, with sufficient diversity, including considerations of race, gender and cultural backgrounds and sensitivity, to represent the departments' interests, activities, and community groups. The majority are DSHS/DOH/L&I employees; at least one member who is not a scientist, one who is not affiliated with DSHS, DOH, or L&I, and at least two physicians per Board.

17. Federal or other mandates:

The Review Board operates under a Federalwide Assurance of Compliance with federal regulations (45 CFR, Part 46)

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:

None

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Michael Garrick, Executive Secretary

7/11/03

PO Box 45205, Olympia, WA 98504-5205

360 902-8075

Name and Title

Date

Address

Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)

# 2003 Boards and Commissions Report

## Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

1. Board/Commission Name (B/C)		2. Name B/C reported under in 2001 or Unchanged <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation		1964	8
3. Agency to which B/C reports	4. Year B/C was established	5. Number of members	6. Number of meetings last biennium

### 7. Summary: Primary Responsibilities:

The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) is responsible for administering nine grant programs: the Boating Facilities Program, Non-Highway & Off-Road Vehicle Activities Program, Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, National Recreational Trails Funding Act Program, the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, Youth Athletic Facilities Program, Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, and the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account Program. In addition, the IAC is responsible for statewide outdoor recreation planning.

8. Estimated Operating Costs (Boards/Commissions Only)	Total Costs	Sources of Funds 7) Enter fund sources, e.g., G.F. State, State Building Code Account, etc. 2) "A" if appropriated or "N" if non-appropriated
2001-2003 Biennium Actual	14,400	Rec Resources - A Nonhighway & Off-Road Vehicle Activities Account -- A Firearms & Archery Range Recreational Account - A GF Federal - A
2003-2005 Biennium Estimate	15,000	Rec Resources - A Nonhighway & Off-Road Vehicle Activities Account -- A Firearms & Archery Range Recreational Account - A GF Federal - A

9. Expected consequences if Board/Commission were abolished and responsibilities: a) were assigned to existing/additional staff, b) were transferred to another agency (specify), or c) were dropped.

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| a) Loss of a source of expertise in developing program policy, conducting statewide outdoor recreation planning, and evaluating grant applications. Loss of ongoing coordination of the three state agencies acquiring, owning, developing, and managing outdoor recreation and habitat land. | b) The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation is known for it's fair and open grant-making process. If this committee was transferred to another agency, this perception may changed. WDFW, DNR, and State Parks all receive grants from the IAC. Other state agencies are also eligible to receive IAC grants for outdoor recreation and habitat protection. It is important that IAC remain independent of these agencies. | c) If the IAC was abolished nine grant programs would lose a source of expertise in developing program policy, conducting statewide outdoor recreation planning, evaluating grant applications, and grant contract management. Loss of ongoing coordination of the three state agencies acquiring, owning, developing, and managing outdoor recreation and habitat land. |
|---|--|--|

10. Legal authorization: State Constitution Article, RCW, WAC or EO  
RCW 43.99.110

11. Legal Authorization is:  
☒ Specific ☐ General

12. Appointing Authority: Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required?

☒ Yes ☐ No

14. Does Board/Commission have subpoena powers?

☐ Yes ☒ No

15. Board/Commission member compensation class

☐ one ☐ two ☒ three ☐ four

### 16. Required Representation:

5 citizen members, 3 state agency directors (Fish & Wildlife, Natural Resources, and State Parks)

### 17. Federal or other mandates:

None

18. Other existing organizations state, local or private, which could satisfy the mandates listed in number 17:  
None

19. Certification: *I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.*

Tammy Owings	7/25/2003	PO Box 40917, Olympia, WA 98504-0917	360-902-2637
Name and Title	Date	Address	Phone

(This person assumes responsibility for accurate transmittal of the above information.)